

## PROPOSAL EVALUATION

## IRWM Grant Program – Planning Grant, Round 2, FY 2011-2012

**Applicant** Kaweah Delta Water Conservation

District

**Project Title** 2012 Regional Governance, Water

Supply and Nutrient Management

Planning Proposal

**County** Tulare & Kings

Grant Request \$ 235,254

**Total Project Cost** \$ 313,672

<u>Project Description</u> Develop more diverse governance structure for the region and develop planning strategies targeting recent water supply and nutrient management situations that have the potential to significantly impact the region within the planning horizon.

## **Evaluation Summary**

Scoring Criterion		Score
Work Plan		15
DAC Involvement		8
Schedule		5
Budget		10
Program Preferences		5
Tie Breaker		0
	Total Score	43

- Work Plan The criterion is fully addressed and supported by thorough documentation or logical rationale. The Work Plan discusses the status of the current IRWM Plan and shows the work needed to facilitate a more regional approach to address water management. Table 5-3 compares the current IRWM Plan with the proposed Plan update, showing that a standards-compliant IRWM plan will be met with the proposed work plan tasks. Linkages between project tasks are good and the line items of the Schedule and Budget correlate with the Work Plan description.
- ➤ <u>DAC Involvement</u> The criterion is fully addressed but is not supported by thorough documentation. The proposal does not identify or discuss the DACs in the Region. Although the Work Plan indicates that DACs and Regional Native American authorities will be involved in the stakeholder input process, it is unclear how DAC participation in the planning process will be sustained in the long term.
- Schedule The criterion is fully addressed and supported by thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The Schedule corresponds with the work plan, appears reasonable, provides detailed timeline for the submission of subtask deliverables, and is consistent with the proposed Budget.
- <u>Budget</u> The criterion is fully addressed and supported by thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The proposed Budget agrees with the Schedule and the Work Plan and clearly identifies individual subtasks and their associated costs. The Budget numbers add up correctly and are appropriately justified.
- Program Preference The proposal sufficiently demonstrates that 13 of the 15 program preferences will be met.
- > Tie Breaker Not Applicable.